

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/454,689	12/03/1999	VIVEK KAPIL	NORT-0010-US	4098
75	90 12/10/2002			
DAN C HU			EXAMINER	
8554 KATY FR	R HU & MILES PC REEWAY		WINDER, P.	ATRICE L
SUITE 100 HOUSTON, TX	E 100 STON, TX 77024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

An

	4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
	09/454,689	KAPIL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrice L Winder	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may be within the statutory minimum owill expire SIX (6) a, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this content of the content of th			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15</u>	November 2002 .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955	C.D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-8,19-24,27-42 is/are pending in th	e application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8,19-24,27-42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received	in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s e of Informal Patent Application (PTC :			

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code 102 not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 19-24, 27-28, 30-31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeSimone et al., USPN 6,212,548 B1 (hereafter referred to as DeSimone).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, DeSimone taught a method of communicating in a network having a plurality of communities each including a server (community = users associated with a particular server, column 4, lines 4-8), comprising:

receiving from the server in a first community, a request including desired realtime, text-based messaging from a first community server to a second terminal coupled to the server in a second community (establishing chat participants list for associated server, claim 4); and

processing the request, by the server in the second community, to establish a real-time, text-based messaging session between the first and second terminals through the first and second community servers (forwarding messages of conversation to participant list, claim 4).

4. Regarding dependent claim 2, DeSimone taught further comprising determining if the second terminal has an established link with the second community server (determining intended participant, claim 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

- 5. Regarding dependent claim 3, DeSimone taught further comprising sending a notification to the second terminal of the desired messaging session if the second terminal has an established link with the second community server (sending message to intended participant, claim 5).
- 6. Regarding dependent claim 4, DeSimone taught receiving an indication from the second terminal of whether the desired messaging session has been accepted (column 1, lines 65-67).
- 7. Regarding dependent claim 8, DeSimone taught establishing a chat session between the first and second terminals (column 2, lines 48-53).
- 8. Regarding claim 19, DeSimone taught a server for use in a communications system having a plurality of communities coupled by a network, each community associated with a different service provider (community = different users associated with different server, column 3, line 64 column 4, line), the server being associated with a first one of the communities and comprising:

an interface unit adapted to receive a contact request over the network from an entity associated with another community, the entity not logged on to the server, the contact request indicating a request to establish a text-based messaging session with a destination terminal linked to the server (contact request = intended recipient list, claim 4); and

a controller adapted to send a notification to the destination terminal of the contact request (sending notification to intended participant, claim 5) and receive an

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

indication from the destination terminal of acceptance of the contact request (column 1, lines 62-67).

9. Regarding claim 20, DeSimone taught an article including one or more machine-readable storage media containing instructions for establishing a text-based messaging session between subscribers in a plurality of communities, each community associated with a different service provider (community = different users associated with different server, column 3, line 64 – column 4, line 8), the instructions when executed causing a system in a first community associated with a first service provider to:

receive a request from a subscriber in a second community associated with a second service provider, the request indicating a desired text-based messaging session with a subscriber in the first community (receiving intended recipient list, claim 4);

notify the subscriber in the first community of the request (sending message to intended recipient, claim 5);

determine if the subscriber in the first community has accepted the request (column 1, lines 62-67); and

establish the text-based messaging session between the subscribers if the subscriber in the first community accepted (column 2, lines 48-53).

- 10. Regarding dependent claim 21, DeSimone taught one or more storage media contain instructions that when executed cause the system to further send signaling to establish the text-based messaging session (column 2, lines 48-53).
- 11. Regarding dependent claim 22, DeSimone taught the text-based messaging session includes a chat session (column 2, lines 30-33, 48-49).

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

- 12. Regarding dependent claim 23, DeSimone taught the one or more storage media contain instructions that when executed cause the system to create a controller object adapted to control the text-based messaging session (software instructions of server 210, column 4, lines 47-51).
- 13. Regarding dependent claim 24, DeSimone taught the one or more storage media contain instructions that when executed cause the system to: receive a request from a subscriber in a third community associated with a third service provider for a text-based messaging session (each server users may initiate chat session, column 5, lines 6-11, column 6, lines 57-61); and
- _____establish the text-based messaging session among the subscribers in the first, second, and third communities (column 1, lines 10-15, column 4, lines 11-13, 48-51).
- 14. Regarding dependent claim 27, DeSimone taught receiving the request comprises receiving a request indicating a desired interactive, text-based chat session (column 2, lines 30-33, 48-49).
- 15. Regarding dependent claim 28, DeSimone taught the text-based messaging session comprises an interactive, text-based chat session (real-time chat session, column 2, lines 30-33, 48-49).
- 16. Regarding dependent claim 30, DeSimone taught the instructions when executed cause the system to establish the text-based messaging session by establishing an interactive, text-based chat session (column 2, lines 30-33, 48-49).
- 17. Regarding claim 31, DeSimone taught a server for use in a communications system having a plurality of communities coupled by a network, each community

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

associated with a different service provider (community formed by users associated with a server, column 4, lines 4-8), comprising:

an interface adapted to receive a request from a first community to establish an interactive, text based chat session between a first terminal in the first community and a second terminal in a second community (establishing chat participants list for associated server, claim 4); and

a controller adapted to process the request on behalf of the second terminal in the second community to establish the interactive, text-based chat session (forwarding messages to associated recipients on participant list, claim 4).

18.—Regarding-dependent-claim-33, DeSimone-taught-providing-a-session-object-inthe second community server, wherein receiving the request comprises receiving a request at the session object in the second community server from another session object in the first community server (receiving intended participant list, claim 5); and

the session object in the second community server exchanging messaging with the first community server to establish the real-time, text-based messaging session (claim 5).

- 19. Regarding dependent claim 35, DeSimone taught the interface unit is adapted to receive the contact request from a second server in the other community (column 15, lines 20-27).
- 20. Regarding dependent claim 37, DeSimone taught the controller comprises a session object (conversation object), the session object adapted to exchange messaging with another session object in a second server in the other community to

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689 Page 7

Art Unit: 2155

establish the text-based messaging session (message handling, column 5, lines 35-39, column 15, lines 27).

- 21. Regarding dependent claim 39, DeSimone taught the instructions when executed cause the system to receive the request at a first server in the system from a second server in the second community (claim 4).
- 22. Regarding dependent claim 41, DeSimone taught the instructions when executed cause the system to: provide a session object in the system (conversation object); and cause the session object to exchange messaging with the second server to establish the text-based messaging session (message handling, column 5, lines 35-39, column 15, lines 27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 23. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 24. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeSimone in view of Ogle et al., USPN 6,430,604 B1 (hereafter referred to as Ogle).
- 25. Regarding dependent claim 5, DeSimone does not specifically teach sending a message to a predetermined communications device other than the second terminal if the second terminal does not have an established connection with the second

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

community server. However, Ogle taught sending a message to predetermined communications device other than the second terminal if the second terminal does not have an established connection with the second community server (instant messaging system 403, column 9, lines 22-47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Ogle's forwarding to a predetermined communications device in DeSimone's asynchronous text chat system would have to improve system effectiveness. The motivation would have been to enable DeSimone's messaging system to use alternative delivery mechanisms to prevent unnecessary loss of communication.

- 26. Regarding dependent claim 6, Ogle taught sending the messages includes sending to a communications device including at least one of a telephone, a pager and an electronic mail receiver (column 9, lines 40-47).
- 27. Claims 7 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeSimone in view of Ishikawa, USPN 6,038,602 (hereafter referred to as Ishikawa).
- 28. Regarding dependent claim 7, DeSimone does not specifically teach performing a reverse log on to the second terminal if the second terminal does not have an established link with the second community server. However, Ishikawa taught performing a reverse logon to the second terminal if the second terminal does not have an established link with the second community server (column 10, lines 5-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Ishikawa's reverse logon in DeSimone's asynchronous text chat

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

system would have extended system functionality. The motivation would have been to eliminate the necessity for arranging network connections in advance.

- 29. Regarding dependent claim 29, DeSimone does not specifically teach the controller is adapted to further send messaging to perform a reverse log-on procedure with the destination terminal. However, Ishikawa taught the controller is adapted to further send messaging to perform a reverse log-on procedure with the destination terminal (column 10, lines 5-25). For motivation for combination see claim 7, above.
- 30. Claims 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeSimone in view of Busey et al., USPN 5,764,916 (hereafter referred to as Busey).
- 31. Regarding dependent claim 32, DeSimone taught providing a window for display at the first terminal, wherein receiving the request comprises receiving a message generated in response to a selection made in the window (column 6, lines 57-61). DeSimone does not specifically teach the window is a web page. However, Busey taught the window is a web page (column 1, lines 26-34, column 4, line 66 column 5, line 38).
- 32. Regarding dependent claim 34, DeSimone taught providing a response, from the second community server, to the first terminal to present a window in a graphical user interface on the first terminal (column 1, lines 65-67); and

receiving a text message of the real-time, text-based messaging session originated from the window on the first terminal (column 9, lines 61-64). DeSimone does not specifically teach the window is a web page and the graphical user interface is a

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689 Page 10

Art Unit: 2155

web browser. However, Busey taught the window is a web page and the graphical user interface is a web browser (column 1, lines 26-34, column 4, line 66 – column 5, line 38).

- 33. Regarding dependent claim 36, DeSimone taught the controller is adapted to communicate a window for display on the entity, the contact request comprising a message generated in response to user selection made in the window at the entity (column 6, lines 57-61). DeSimone does not specifically teach the window is a web page. However, Busey taught the window is a web page (column 1, lines 26-34, column 4, line 66 column 5,line 38).
- 34. Regarding dependent claim 38, DeSimone taught the controller is adapted to communicate a-response-to-the-contact request-to present a window-in a graphical user interface at the entity (column 1, lines 65-67, column 5, lines 9-11), the interface unit adapted to further receive text messaging from the graphical user interface at the entity during the text-based message session (column 9, lines 61-64). DeSimone does not specifically teach the window is a web page and the graphical user interface is a web browser. However, Busey taught the window is a web page and the graphical user interface is a web browser (column 1, lines 26-34, column 4, line 66 column 5, line 38).
- 35. Regarding dependent claim 40, DeSimone taught the instructions when executed cause the system to provide a window for display at a subscriber terminal in the second community (column 1, lines 65-67), wherein the request received at the first server comprises messaging generated in response to selection made in the window displayed at the subscriber terminal in the second community (column 6, lines 57-61). DeSimone

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

does not specifically teach the window is a web page. However, Busey taught the window is a web page (column 1, lines 26-34, column 4, line 66 – column 5, line 38).

36. Regarding dependent claim 42, DeSimone taught the instructions when executed cause the system to: communicate, in response to the request, a window for display in a graphical user interface at a subscriber terminal in the second community (column 1, lines 65-67, column 5, lines 9-11); and

receive messaging from the graphical user interface during the text-based messaging session (column 9, lines 61-64). DeSimone does not specifically teach the window is a web page and the graphical user interface is a web browser. However, Busey taught the window is a web-page and the graphical user interface is a web browser (column 1, lines 26-34, column 4, line 66 – column 5, line 38).

37. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Busey's web page and web browser in DeSimone's asynchronous text chat system would have improved system effectiveness. The motivation would have to provide an avenue for widespread use of DeSimone's asynchronous text chat system.

Response to Arguments

- 38. Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 39. Applicant argues DeSimone fails to teach "plurality of communities each including a server".

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

- a. A community is represented by a server and its set of users (column 3, line 64 column 4, line 8, column 5, lines 9-11)
- 40. Applicant agues "DeSimone does not teach or even remotely suggest ... interaction between servers of different communities."
 - b. Different users have different associated servers (column 4, lines 4-8) and cooperating servers facilitate interactions between their respective users (column 15, lines 23-27). Thus, teaching interaction between servers of different communities.
- 41. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last

 Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/454,689

Art Unit: 2155

Conclusion

42. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is (703) 305-3938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh, can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number(s) for this Group are after final (703) 746-7238; official (703) 746-7239 and non-official/draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PATRICE WINDER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 13

1